



# AGRICULTURE

## Default Question Block

Provide scores and comments for each paper you review using a separate questionnaire for each paper.

Be sure to **click the ARROW button** at the end of the questionnaire to record your scores and comments.

The categories of the review include:

1. Introduction/Purpose
2. Literature Review & Conceptual/Theoretical Framework
3. Methods/Procedures
4. Results/Findings
5. Discussion/Conclusions
6. Writing
7. General comments for the author(s)
8. Overall recommendations

Responses are required for questions marked with an asterisk (\*).

Reviewer Name:

Paper Number: (in document  
file name)

Paper Title

**Rate the quality of the Introduction/Purpose section of this paper using the following criteria and scale.\***

	1 Needs Improvement	2	3 Average	4	5 Excellent
Statement of problems or issue	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Significance of the research/issue/problem for the agricultural communication discipline (broadly defined).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Comments about the Introduction/Purpose:**

**Rate the quality of the Literature Review & Conceptual/Theoretical Framework section of this paper using the following criteria and scale.\***

	1 Needs Improvement	2	3 Average	4	5 Excellent
Scope and relevance of literature cited	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Description and application of literature review and/or theoretical/conceptual framework	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Comments about the Literature Review & Conceptual/Theoretical Framework:**

**Rate the quality of the Methods/Procedures section of this paper using the following criteria and scale.\***

	1 Needs Improvement	2	3 Average	4	5 Excellent
Appropriateness of methodology, population, and sampling procedures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Description of methods and processes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Description of validity, reliability, credibility, etc. (as appropriate)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Comments about the Methods/Procedures:**

**Rate the quality of the Results/Findings section of this paper using the following criteria and scale.\***

1 Needs 3 5

	Improvement	2	Average	4	Excellent
Presentation of results/outcomes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Description of the data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data analysis	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Comments about the Results/Findings:**

**Rate the quality of the Discussion/Conclusions section of this paper using the following criteria and scale.\***

	1 Needs Improvement	2	3 Average	4	5 Excellent
Supported by the findings/outcomes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Significance of contribution to the understanding of the topic	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Discussion of implications of the results/outcomes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Quality of recommendations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Insights provided about the issue or problem	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Comments about the Discussion/Conclusions:**

**Rate the quality of the writing of this paper (following APA Style - Edition 7) using the following criteria and scale.\***

	1 Needs Improvement	2	3 Average	4	5 Excellent
Clarity	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Grammar	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
References (in-text citation and reference list)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Comments about writing:**

**General comments for the author(s):**




Optional: Upload an additional file for the authors of paper to view.

**Overall recommendation:\***

- Accept
- Accept as Poster
- Reject

**Identify conditions under which this paper would be acceptable:**



Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Oklahoma State University

Powered by Qualtrics